Countering Anti-Israel Boycotts on Campuses



StandWithUs

Line metalations (34) level to been with the processing ways whether hand have been and the rescal are not whether hand have been and processing of the relation and with the hard have been stored for the station of a statistic ways. It is alrease and levels who does representativity we do subsect and levels and have processing and field on all function of a statistic ways. The proceed function protocols in and levels. These processing and refers and function are not server in the star function.

Established as the national hostedand for the Jewish peopler, Isstard's population includes Jewis of every geographic, eduit, and redirgious

 Tapip present of lands are joint affined. The same from with theme obtain, mail, and calcular halpstack and from all touches of Justian.
Intell totals comprise the largest missionity group in larged and are active in all largest shared large.

 tast interview is many as 12 of the 120 Honses scars in a single decision.
Israel is home to many religious missorities and generatories their education of the state of the

 Incred H radius recorders in load-radiing up door 20 percent of loads opplation.
No string from decremination, load remains committed to exercise up also aroug in doors population.

fanzing in 1948. San die fanzing of land in 1958, wonen have played a vital role in proming derdoromy and promining der annie. •(h 1956 Geld Meir Marzer land fanzi

and and one is all for any in process of any party is social account. • Institute to inform of process of acceptance of density • Institute to inform of process.

and point for a series of point for a series

What is the Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement (BDS)?

BDS is a global campaign to boycott, divest from, and sanction Israel. BDS seeks to undermine international support for Israel and eventually eliminate the Jewish state.

BDS goes far beyond legitimate criticism of Israeli policy, often blurring the line between anti-Zionism and anti-Semitism. It dehumanizes Israelis and their supporters, seeks to deny Jews the right to self-determination in their ancestral homeland, and hurts Israelis and Palestinians alike.

BDS campaigns on campuses have consistently descended into or been followed by outright racism against Jewish students. The movement damages university communities while advancing a fundamentally unjust cause.

BDS claims its purpose is to help Palestinians achieve freedom and equal rights, but its goals



In Their Own Words

"The real aim of BDS is to bring down the state of Israel." - As'ad Abukhalil, leading BDS activist

"We oppose a Jewish state in any part of Palestine."

 Omar Barghouti, co-founder of BDS, former graduate student at Tel Aviv University prove this to be false. BDS' main stated goal is to apply pressure until millions of Palestinians who currently live as refugees in Arab countries are allowed to move into Israel, rather than into a future Palestinian state. In effect, this would turn Israeli Jews back into a stateless minority and end Israel's existence as a Jewish state.

BDS is a well-funded, well-organized campaign with a long-term strategy.

While it pressures businesses, artists, churches, professional associations, labor unions, local governments, and other institutions, BDS' biggest focus is the college campus. It masks its bigoted agenda in the appealing language of social justice and human rights, seeking to poison future social and political leaders against Israel. Ultimately, BDS hopes to weaken Israel politically, culturally, and economically in order to set the stage for its collapse.

Together we can defeat bigotry and stand up for justice.

The following pages contain insights aimed at empowering pro-Israel students to defeat BDS and implement a proactive strategy of their own. Together we can overcome the challenge BDS poses to our communities on campuses, stand up for Jewish rights, deepen the U.S.-Israel relationship, and support a truly just peace between Israelis and Palestinians.

The Challenges of BDS on Campuses

SJP hosts "die-ins" on college campuses, accusing Israel of indiscriminately killing Palestinians.

BDS on campuses usually exists in the form of campaigns by Students for Justice in Palestine (SJP) and similar groups. SJP has over 100 chapters on college campuses across North America.

The most damaging campaigns organized by SJP are student government resolutions and student body-wide referendums calling on universities to stop investing in companies doing business with Israel. This is known as divestment. Other campaigns include "apartheid wall" displays, theatrical protests, and tours by anti-Israel speakers.

Campus BDS activists are not as "grassroots" or student-led as they claim to be.

Student groups like SJP are the face of the movement on campuses, but they receive funding, training, research, talking points, and legal support from numerous professional off-campus political interest groups. These outside groups include American Muslims for Palestine (AMP), the U.S. Campaign to End the Israeli Occupation, the American Friends Service Committee, Jewish Voice for Peace (JVP), Palestine Legal, Al-Awda, and others.

Many SJPs have gotten better organized and more effective over time.

SJP used to focus on protests, public displays, and hosting campus events, which gained it some supporters but was not especially effective overall. Divestment is a higher level of activism. It is more strategic. It combines:

- coalition building with influential minority groups;
- lobbying student senators;
- broad PR campaigns on campus; and
- drawing in media attention.

BDS is now having a deeper and wider influence on future leaders and opinion-makers.

BDS is all about changing public opinion.

On the surface, SJP is calling for universities to take financial action against Israel, but its real goal is to turn popular opinion against Israel. It is using financial issues as an excuse to spread anti-Israel propaganda in student governments, among influential student groups, in the media, and elsewhere.



SJP uses appealing words and emotionally manipulative propaganda to succeed.

SJPs have a simple narrative that is easy to relate to, and they cloak their anti-Israel message in the language of human rights and social justice. They use lies and halftruths along with emotional images and stories to sway the public – particularly people on the left side of the political spectrum.

How Does Divestment Work?

• Student Government Legislation Against Israel

Most divestment campaigns come in the form of student government legislation. SJP often writes a bill claiming that certain international companies (particularly those involved in Israel's self-defense against terrorism) are helping Israel commit crimes against the Palestinians and calling on the university to stop investing in those companies. Student councils, which usually consist of 10 to 30 elected student leaders, are forced to vote on these bills, resulting in hours or weeks of debate, during which Israel is essentially put on trial.

Student Body-Wide Referendum Against Israel

A referendum is a general election in which all students can vote on the question of whether or not the university should divest from companies doing business with Israel. SJP runs mass social media campaigns, writes student newspaper articles, and speaks with large numbers of students in person, hoping to mislead and manipulate them into voting in favor of divestment.

Divestment is often a win-win for SJP. If divestment passes, SJP claims that the majority of the student body opposes Israel. If it doesn't, SJP still reaches many new people with its propaganda and moves closer to its goal of turning public opinion against Israel over the long term.

Vote counts do not always decide whether we win or lose.

Defeating divestment is about more than just winning a senate or referendum vote. It's about winning the moral debate about Israel and what real justice in the Middle East looks like. Winning the divestment debate but losing the moral debate is like winning the battle but losing the war.

How Do We Turn This Around?

A Long-Term Strategy

BDS has a long-term strategy. We need to implement one of our own.

1. DEVELOP A COMPELLING NARRATIVE/MESSAGE, AND SET TANGIBLE GOALS.

Find like-minded students, and work together to create an identity for Israel, the Jewish people, and your community on campus. We have to tell our story in a way that not only inspires our base but also makes it easy for undecided and uninformed students to relate to us.

Fortunately, Israel and the Jewish people already have an incredibly powerful narrative of indigenous roots, overcoming 1,900 years of dispossession and oppression across Europe and the Middle East, achieving liberation in their ancestral homeland.

This narrative is not meant to replace all existing messaging about Israel. What it should do is put the Israel of today in context, making its modern achievements and the U.S.-Israel relationship more inspiring. Giving people an understanding of the fundamental morality and justice of Israel's existence should also allow Israel's flaws and challenges to be addressed more rationally. We encourage you to use Israel's story of resilience and empowerment against the odds as the foundation of your messaging on campus.

Tangible Goals

To build a movement it is crucial to tie your core messaging to a tangible, medium- to long-term goal that your group can achieve this year or next year. Examples include student government resolutions, student leadership statements, and campaigns to increase study abroad opportunities in Israel. We encourage you to tailor the goal to your campus.

2. MOBILIZE.

Mobilize your base. Give them ways to help communicate your messaging and achieve your goals for the year.



3. BUILD COALITIONS.

Organize your group to build coalitions with key student groups and leaders.

4. GAIN REPRESENTATION IN LEADERSHIP ROLES.

Work with your base and coalition partners to gain representation in student government and other leadership roles. This will help you to prevent BDS from hijacking campus institutions and allow you to implement proactive campaigns.

If we follow this strategy as a community, we can ensure future support for Israel, stand up for our rights, and contribute to a just and lasting peace between Israel and its neighbors.

CONCRETE STEPS FOR IMPLEMENTING YOUR LONG-TERM STRATEGY

Join a pro-Israel group on campus or create your own. Many campuses have existing pro-Israel organizations that you can join. If there is no existing group, StandWithUs campus staff can help you create one.

Start at the foundation: What identity are we creating for Israel and ourselves on campus? Before you do anything, figure out what identity you want to create for Israel on your campus. We must have a message that students can connect to personally, emotionally, and intellectually. SJP associates Israel with powerful, negative emotions and stereotypes, so we have to be proactive about tying Israel to resilience, empowerment, diversity, creativity, and other positives. Furthermore, you should proactively position your community as the one that wants a better future for both Israelis and Palestinians, as opposed to BDS, which seeks to bring down only Israel. Your events, flyers, messaging, and tangible goals throughout the year should be guided by the larger story you're trying to tell.

Educate yourself and your community about the Arab-Israeli conflict and other difficult issues. Your main focus must always be communicating a positive message, but it's also important to know how to have intelligent discussions and debates about the Arab-Israeli conflict and other challenges that Israel faces. We have to be able to show people the difference between legitimate criticism of Israel and the dehumanizing narrative of BDS. Learn the definition that Natan Sharansky uses, known as the three Ds of anti-Semitism. In addition, at the end of this booklet, you will find short answers to difficult questions about Israel, which you can use to educate yourself and others.

Organize positive events and programs on campus. We have to be proactive in setting the agenda about Israel on campuses and getting a positive message out there. We will never reach our full potential if the only thing we do is react and defend against anti-Israel campaigns. StandWithUs campus staff can help you bring positive, inspiring educational events to your campus.

Build coalitions with influential student groups. Map out your campus, and give each member of your club a set of groups to reach out to. Get to know and understand your audience, support their causes, share relevant aspects of Israel's story, and give people tangible ways to support you in return. This is key for pro-Israel activism in general, but it will also help you enormously if divestment comes to your university because you will have built bridges ahead of time. It's all about relationships.

Relationships matter. Build relationships with student government leaders, and educate them about Israel long before divestment hits. They are much more likely to be receptive if they have a good personal relationship with you, and it will be hard to find friends in an "emergency" situation.

Get involved in campus leadership. BDS activists are very focused on gaining seats in student governments, jobs with student newspapers, and other leadership positions. We must do the same to prevent them from hijacking organizations that represent and influence large numbers of students.



Be proactive. You may be able to write your own student government legislation to support Israel, encourage co-existence, and/or make it more difficult for SJP to achieve its goals. Even if this is unrealistic, there are still other proactive steps you can take to prevent divestment campaigns from succeeding. StandWithUs staff can help you create a strategy for your campus.

Monitor your campus. BDS activists do much of their work behind the scenes, but there may still be ways to figure out if divestment is coming to your campus before it arrives. Here are some ideas that could help you identify a possible BDS campaign in advance:

- Make friends in the student government and other organizations who can notify you if SJP starts lobbying them.
- Go to SJP's events, and listen to what they are discussing.
- Follow SJP's social media accounts for any posts related to BDS.

Defeat divestment. If divestment cannot be prevented, the following are some of the key steps to take:

- Get organized: You need a team to combat divestment. If you have multiple Israel groups on campus, work hard to get them on the same page.
- Messaging: Once you get organized, pick no more than three core messages, and stick to them throughout your efforts. Staying on message is vital to your success. Do NOT claim that divestment "is divisive," "marginalizes us," "makes me feel uncomfortable on campus," and/or "is

A NOT OF A CANA

anti-peace" without putting substance behind your rhetoric. To truly win this debate, we recommend using the following core messages:

- o The accusations being made against Israel are misleading at best and false at worst.
- o Divestment does nothing to promote peace, justice, or human rights here on campus or in the Middle East.
- o We should be working together toward a future of peace and mutual respect for both peoples instead of allowing BDS to damage our campus for an unjust cause.
- Delegate responsibilities: Everyone on your team will have different talents. Some can write speeches, some are good at outreach, while others can run social media campaigns. Delegate tasks to maximize the different strengths your team members possess.
- Lobby student representatives: The leaders of your student government are the ones who ultimately vote on divestment. Your group should meet with every member and educate him or her about Israel, the conflict, and the true nature of the divestment movement.
- *Prepare for the debate:* Organize your speakers, speeches, and resources for the divestment debate ahead of time. Your opposition will be extremely prepared, and you should plan to match them.

Resources

Please visit **www.standwithus.com/divestment** for resources that will help you in all aspects of your anti-BDS efforts. (You will need to register for access to the site.) In addition, StandWithUs campus department staff members are ready to work closely with you throughout the year. Our goal is to empower you and your community to win and come out even stronger than you were before.

We must be proactive. To learn more about what we can do to defeat BDS and stand up for justice on campuses, contact us at campus@standwithus.com.

Answering Tough Questions About Israel

Aren't the Jews in Israel white European colonialists?

The Jewish people are indigenous to Israel, the birthplace of their identity and unique culture, and have maintained a documented presence there for over 3,000 years. The families of most Israeli Jews lived across the Middle East, Africa, and Asia before they returned to their ancestral homeland in Israel. Jews who came from Europe were not colonialists. They did not represent a foreign power and rejected any identification with European nations. They were idealists who sought to restore and preserve their unique heritage and fought for the same rights that are granted to all peoples: self-determination and independence in their ancestral home. Over 150 years ago, Jews returned in ever-larger numbers, again became the majority in Jerusalem in the 1860s, and established Tel Aviv in 1909. In 1920 the international community officially recognized the indigenous rights of the Jewish people and endorsed the restoration of the Jewish homeland.

Wasn't the establishment of Israel a grave injustice to the Palestinians?

In an act of historical justice, the international community recognized that after millennia of persecution and expulsions, the Jewish people had a right to self-determination in their ancestral homeland, like all other unique national groups. The Jewish people accepted the fact that others now also lived in their land and supported the UN's 1947 recommendation to partition the Jewish homeland so Palestinian Arabs could establish history's first Palestinian state, and Israel granted equal rights to all Arabs in its borders. Unfortunately, Arab leaders refused to accept a Jewish state, no matter how small, and dismissed any compromises that would allow both Jews and Palestinian Arabs to fulfill their aspirations to self-determination. Instead, they launched an unsuccessful war to seize the whole territory, with disastrous consequences for the majority of Palestinians. The violent rejectionism of Palestinian and Arab leaders was, and continues to be, an injustice to Israelis and Palestinians alike.

Isn't a Jewish state, by definition, racist and undemocratic?

Jews, both secular and religious, are a people who have the right to self-determination. What is racist is denying Jews a right granted to all other peoples bound together by shared identity and heritage. The Jewish people established a democratic government for their state in 1948. When the UN recommended establishing a Jewish state in 1947 and admitted Israel as a member in 1949, it saw no contradiction between Israel's Jewish and democratic identity. Israel grants people of Jewish heritage a fast track to citizenship, just like Poland, Finland, Greece, and other nations grant citizenship based on ethnic ancestry. Israel, the only democracy in the Middle East, is one of the world's most diverse and progressive countries. Non-Jewish Israelis, who make up 24 percent of the population, have equal rights under the law. Over 15 religions are officially recognized, women and LGBTQ people are legally protected from discrimination, and affirmative action programs exist to help minorities overcome the disadvantages they face.

Is Israel stealing water from the Palestinians?

Israel is at the forefront of innovations in water sustainability and a leader in seeking comprehensive, regional solutions to managing this vital but scarce resource. Israel is sharing, not stealing, water. It is giving its own water to Palestinians in significantly greater quantities than it agreed to under the Oslo Accords (40 percent more each year between 2008 and 2012). Nor does Israel use West Bank water. It uses the same water sources today that it used prior to 1967, and settlements are supplied by Israel's national water system. Furthermore, Israel helped Palestinians modernize their water systems after 1967, increasing the available fresh water supply by 275 percent and expanding the number of Palestinian towns connected to running water from four to 309. Some Palestinian communities do face water shortages today, but this is primarily because Palestinian leaders have failed to maintain their water infrastructure properly, not because of Israel.

Does Israel evict Palestinians from their homes in eastern Jerusalem?

No people should ever be unfairly evicted from their homes. In Israel, a liberal democracy governed by the rule of law, evictions occur only when tenants don't pay rent or homes are built illegally, and Jews and Arabs are subject to the same rules. If evictions are issued unjustly, tenants can appeal to Israel's court system, which is respected for its independence and willingness to challenge government policy. Eastern Jerusalem's Arab residents can rent or buy homes throughout the city, and the Jerusalem municipality has set aside enough land to accommodate their housing needs through 2030.

Shouldn't Israel divide Jerusalem so Palestinians can have their capital in eastern Jerusalem?

Israel made such offers in 2000 and 2008, but Palestinian leaders said no. Dividing Jerusalem is not a simple process. The Jewish people have profound ties to the city, which has been their spiritual and physical capital for 3,000 years. It has never been the capital of any other people or nation. Furthermore, Jews again became the majority of the city's population over 150 years ago and have lived in eastern Jerusalem for centuries, except between 1948 and 1967, when Jordan illegally controlled the area, expelled all Jews, and desecrated Jewish holy sites. Only Israel has ensured freedom of worship and protection of holy sites for all religious groups. Finally, according to a 2011 poll, only 30 percent of Jerusalem's Arab residents want to become citizens of a future Palestinian state. These complex issues require creative solutions that can only emerge through direct negotiations.

Should the U.S. continue to give money to Israel when we have serious economic problems at home?

The U.S. funds military aid to Israel because Israel is a critical strategic and economic asset and our only democratic ally in the Middle East. Israel and the U.S. share fundamental values, and the relationship provides critical, mutual benefits in trade, strategic interests, cutting-edge medical and technological research, and other fields. Aid to Israel is a boon for the U.S. economy. It helps protect Israeli businesses, which have created countless jobs in America since 2000 by investing over \$60 billion in the U.S. economy. Israel must also spend 75 percent of the aid it receives in the U.S., providing contracts worth billions of dollars and jobs for

tens of thousands of Americans in 47 states. The U.S. also spends hundreds of billions on other regions and governments all over the world—from Egypt to the Palestinian Authority, from Europe to South Korea—providing economic aid, military assistance, and defense.

The UN has repeatedly condemned Israeli actions. Why does the U.S. keep protecting Israel at the UN?

The UN should condemn Hamas, other terrorist groups, and dictatorial regimes, not democratic Israel. Hamas denies its people in Gaza personal freedom, has assassinated or imprisoned hundreds of its political rivals to set up a fundamentalist Islamic state, persecutes LGBTQ people and Christians, and repeatedly chooses violence against Israelis, forcing Israel to defend its citizens militarily. The UN should protect Palestinians and Israelis by condemning and working to disarm Hamas. Unfortunately, many UN member states that routinely condemn Israel violate human rights themselves or support human rights abusers like Hamas. As such, the U.S. regularly opposes anti-Israel resolutions in order to uphold justice, fairness, and the UN's founding principles.

Don't Palestinians have a right to ask the UN to establish an independent Palestinian state?

The Palestinians' unilateral bid for statehood through the UN was an effort to bypass negotiations with Israel and avoid making the tough compromises necessary for peace, which include recognizing Jewish rights to self-determination. Their unilateral move also violates all international treaties the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) has signed with Israel as well as UN resolutions 242 and 338, which call for negotiations to establish borders. The only path to a Palestinian state is a negotiated peace agreement with Israel, which recognizes the rights of both peoples to statehood and selfdetermination.

Hasn't Israeli intransigence been the main obstacle to a twostate solution?

A two-state solution requires mutual recognition and mutual respect. Over the past 80 years, Israel has repeatedly shown its willingness to make far-reaching compromises and accept a two-state solution. It accepted two-state partition proposals in 1937 and 1947, and it offered the Palestinians land for peace in 2000 and 2008. Unfortunately, Palestinian and Arab leaders have rejected all of these peace plans and refused to accept the existence of a Jewish state in any territory. Israel is invested in making peace but needs assurances that Palestinian leaders will control terrorist groups like Hamas, end incitement to hatred and violence, and uphold a genuine, lasting agreement. When Palestinian leaders say yes to a just peace based on mutual recognition and respect, the aspirations of both peoples to statehood and self-determination can be fulfilled.

Why won't Israel simply leave the West Bank?

Israel has repeatedly offered territorial compromises, but Palestinian and Arab leaders have consistently said no to establishing the first Palestinian state in history. They said no in 1937, 1947, 2000, and 2008 because saying yes would have meant accepting Jewish rights to self-determination. Israel is in the West Bank because Palestinian leaders have refused to make peace, terrorists from the area continue to endanger Israeli civilians, and Israel has no assurances that the Palestinian Authority can maintain law and order. In addition, Israel has legitimate claims to the territory, which is located in the heart of the Jewish people's ancestral homeland. When Palestinian leaders make establishing their own state a higher priority than tearing down Israel, there can be compromises that will lead to peaceful coexistence.

Doesn't Israel realize that its presence in the West Bank is illegal?

Its presence is not illegal. Israel entered the West Bank only after being attacked by Jordan in 1967. Under customary law and the Hague Conventions, Israel was obligated to administer the area until peace was achieved. UN Resolution 242 (1967) assumed Israel would administer the territories until Arab countries were willing to negotiate new, more secure borders, as Egypt did in 1979 and Jordan did in 1994. Unfortunately, Palestinian leaders have rejected every Israeli offer of peace and territorial compromise and have delayed resuming negotiations to determine future borders. In the meantime, terrorists in the area continue to threaten Israeli civilians, forcing Israel to maintain its security activity as agreed upon in the Oslo Accords. When Palestinian leaders finally say yes to peace, Israel's presence will no longer be necessary.

Are Israel's settlements in the West Bank illegal?

The settlements are politically contentious both inside and outside of Israel, but they are legal according to numerous legal scholars. Israel has legal, historic, and security claims to the West Bank, the cradle of Jewish history, which Palestinians want for their future state. These factors and the legal background of the area have led many scholars, including former Dean of Yale Law School Eugene Rostow, to consider the settlements entirely legal and the West Bank as disputed, not occupied, territory. Settlements do not violate UN Resolution 242 or Palestinian-Israeli agreements signed in the Oslo Accords. Even so. Israel has not authorized new settlements since the 1993 Oslo Accords, and it even agreed to freeze building in existing settlements during negotiations in 2010. Settlements, which cover less than 1.7 percent of West Bank land, are one of many issues to be resolved in peace talks. Israel's peace offers reflect its acceptance of Palestinian aspirations for statehood. When Palestinian leaders also recognize the Jewish people's rights to statehood, the conflict can be resolved.

Aren't West Bank settlements the main obstacle to peace?

Settlements are a symptom, not a cause, of the conflict. There were no settlements when Palestinian leaders began violence against Jews in 1920 or when Arab and Palestinian leaders launched wars and terrorism against Israel between 1948 and 1967. Israel sought territorial compromise and peace in 2005 when it evacuated all settlements in Gaza and four large settlements in the West Bank, but instead terrorism and hostility increased. Israel offered to dismantle many West Bank settlements for peace in 2000 and 2008, but Palestinian leaders said no. When Palestinian leaders return to the negotiating table in good faith, the settlements, which comprise less than 2 percent of West Bank land, and other outstanding issues can be resolved.

Why is Israel maintaining its illegal blockade of Gaza and imposing collective punishment on Gaza's civilians?

Hamas, the Palestinian terrorist organization controlling Gaza, is

violating international law and inflicting collective punishment on both Palestinian and Israeli civilians. The hard fact is that Hamas (whose charter calls for the murder of Jews and "obliteration" of Israel) is engaged in a genocidal war against Israel and has fired over 17,000 rockets and mortars at Israeli civilians since 2005, when Israel completely withdrew from the area. The UN Palmer Report confirmed that Israel's blockade to prevent weapons transfers is legal and appropriate under international law. The Red Cross and WHO reported that there is no humanitarian crisis in Gaza, in part because Israel facilitates the weekly shipment of thousands of tons of aid. The blockade merely requires Israeli inspection of shipments to ensure that terrorist groups are not importing weaponry. Gaza experienced significant economic growth in previous years even with the blockade, but Palestinians will not be able to fully prosper until Hamas ends its war against Israel.

How can Israel claim it no longer occupies Gaza when it controls Gaza's airspace, waters, and borders?

Israel has had no presence in Gaza since 2005. Gaza is ruled exclusively by Palestinians and also shares borders with Egypt, where Israel has no control. Hamas, which controls Gaza, is in an ongoing state of war with Israel, constantly targeting innocent Israelis with rocket fire. Israel controls its own borders with Gaza, along with Gaza's airspace and coastline, to protect civilians and stop Hamas and its affiliates from importing weapons for terrorism. When the government of Gaza is no longer at war with Israel, these measures will become unnecessary, and the Gaza Strip, which is located on the same beautiful Mediterranean coast as Tel Aviv, will be able to flourish.

When will Israel remove checkpoints, which inconvenience the Palestinian people?

Checkpoints save lives. They were a direct response to the brutal wave of violence by racist terrorist groups against Israelis during the second intifada. As terrorism has decreased in recent years, checkpoints were removed. By 2012 only 10 remained, and the human rights group B'Tselem reported that Palestinians could move relatively freely in the West Bank. The checkpoints that still exist remain vital to the safety of Israeli civilians because there are still frequent attempts to attack Israelis and smuggle weapons into Israel. Forcing innocent Palestinians to go through checkpoints is not what Israel wants, any more than the U.S. wants to inconvenience travelers at airports, but as long as terrorists hide among the civilian population, Israel has no choice but to maintain its safety measures. Checkpoints will no longer be necessary once terrorism ends and a genuine peace emerges between Israelis and Palestinians. This is why peace negotiations are so crucial.

Why does Israel believe it has a right to possess nuclear weapons but that Iran does not?

Israel is the only country in the world that has been openly threatened with extinction by its neighbors since its rebirth in 1948. Discussion about Israel's nuclear weapons should begin only when Israel's existence is accepted in the region. Any nuclear weapons Israel may have would only be used as a last resort to defend against an imminent threat to its survival. In contrast, the regime in Iran has been publicly threatening to annihilate Israel for years. There is a difference. Israel is not threatening its neighbors with genocide. Iran is.

Does Israel use disproportionate force during its military operations?

The legal term "disproportionate force" does not refer to equivalence in casualties or weaponry but to military actions that cause more civilian harm than is warranted by the military gains. Knowing that civilians always suffer from wars, Israel has practiced restraint despite Hamas' relentless attacks against Israeli citizens, though most countries would not tolerate even one rocket attack. Israel has been widely praised for attempting to minimize harm to Palestinian civilians during military operations by warning of impending attacks, aborting operations if civilians are in target zones, and ensuring delivery of humanitarian goods. Israel's policies prompted British military expert Col. (ret.) Richard Kemp to testify that Israel does more "to safeguard the rights of civilians in a combat zone than any other army in the history of warfare." Conversely, Israel's terrorist enemies use Palestinians as human shields, fight from civilian centers, and target Israeli civilians, tragically increasing civilian casualties.

Isn't Israel's wall illegal according to the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and a violation of human rights?

The International Court of Justice decision was a nonbinding advisory opinion, which had no practical impact on international law. Furthermore, the legitimacy of this decision was highly questionable because Israel did not provide testimony about its side of the issue and nearly every liberal democracy objected to the ICJ even hearing the case. For example, the U.S. Congress stated that the ICJ was being used to promote a narrow, anti-Israel political agenda. The security barrier was built only to ensure human rights for Israelis of all religions and ethnicities and to separate racist terrorist groups from their intended victims. This is why international law experts have stated that the barrier is in fact a legal self-defense measure. Many other countries, including South Korea, India, Cyprus, Kuwait, and Northern Ireland, use similar barriers to protect their citizens.

If the "apartheid wall" exists only to protect Israeli civilians, why does it cut through Palestinian towns and annex Palestinian land?

The barrier was built because of the campaign of violence by racist terrorist groups against Israelis in 2000. Innocent people of all religions and ethnicities were targeted in schools, buses, restaurants, and dance clubs. Israel had to act to protect them. The barrier has reduced terrorism fatalities by close to 100 percent, and leading Palestinian terrorists admitted that it obstructed suicide-bombing operations. The barrier cuts into the West Bank only to protect Israeli communities, not to annex territory. When sections are located on land privately owned by Palestinians, they are offered compensation and can file legal suits to have it rerouted, and many have done so successfully. The barrier negatively affects some innocent Palestinians—an outcome Israel did not want—but without an end to terrorism and a peace agreement, Israel has no other way to ensure its citizens' safety. When a peace agreement is reached, the route of the barrier can be adjusted to conform to new border arrangements.

Does Israel practice apartheid?

Israel is the opposite of an apartheid state. It is a multicultural democracy and the only free country in the Middle East according

to the human rights watchdog Freedom House. Labeling Israel as an "apartheid state" justifiably offends Israelis and the victims of real apartheid regimes. Israeli law enshrines equal rights for all citizens, and minorities participate fully in public life. While Israel, like other multi-ethnic democracies, struggles with disadvantages its minorities sometimes face, its laws try to eradicate inequality. Nor does Israel practice apartheid in the West Bank and Gaza. Palestinians are not citizens of the Jewish state, and the vast majority do not want to be. They are governed by their own leaders—Hamas and the Palestinian Authority—and wish to have self-determination in their own state. Israeli measures like checkpoints and the security barrier do not exist to separate people based on religion or ethnicity but rather to protect Israeli civilians of all backgrounds from racist terrorist groups. When Palestinian leaders say yes to peace, these measures will become unnecessary.

Why does Israel have racist policies against African migrants?

Race is not the main issue when it comes to African migrants in Israel. Israel may be the only nation in history to have brought Africans out of Africa to live as equal citizens. (It brought nearly 100,000 Ethiopian Jews to Israel between the 1970s and 2014.) The main issue is illegal immigration—a global phenomenon that Israel and many other liberal democracies are struggling with today. Israel's challenge is to determine who entered the country illegally for economic reasons and who is a refugee deserving of asylum, all while enforcing its immigration laws and addressing the concerns of its legal citizens. Israel's policies, while certainly not perfect, are more humane than those of liberal democracies like the U.S., the UK, Australia, France, Italy, and Switzerland, among others.

Didn't Israel sterilize Ethiopian women because of anti-black racism?

Israel did not and has never sterilized Ethiopian women. This slander stems from a scandal in which an Israeli NGO gave small numbers of Ethiopian immigrants temporary birth control shots without properly explaining their effects. While this may have been a case of medical misconduct, it certainly was not "sterilization" or proof of institutionalized racism in Israel. When asked, one of the Ethiopian women who came forward with the original complaint clearly stated that no one was preventing her from having more children in Israel.

Why does Israel keep Palestinian political prisoners, including children, in humiliating conditions?

Democratic Israel does not take political prisoners. It imprisons only those who are involved in violence against Israelis after convicting them in a court of law. Unfortunately, terrorist groups recruit and pay Palestinian children to engage in violence, forcing Israel to detain minors in some cases. However, Israel does not imprison minors under the age of 14 and detains very few under the age of 16. Israeli prison conditions meet or surpass those of other liberal democracies according to numerous reports. During the July 2014 conflict with Hamas in Gaza, Israel moved Palestinian prisoners away from a facility in the south to keep them safe from rocket fire.

Isn't the boycott, divestment, and sanctions campaign against Israel (BDS) the best way to promote peace, justice, and human rights in Israel/Palestine?

BDS uses social justice rhetoric to promote what would be a grave injustice: the elimination of Israel and the violation of Jewish rights to self-determination. BDS is a global propaganda campaign that uses slander and misinformation to isolate and delegitimize Israel, all under the guise of human rights. A core BDS demand is the Palestinian refugees' "right of return" to Israel, which, in the words of President Barack Obama, "would extinguish Israel as a Jewish state." Indeed, BDS co-founder Omar Barghouti declared, "We oppose a Jewish state in any part of Palestine." People of conscience should acknowledge that Palestinians can have human rights and justice without turning Jews back into a stateless people.

Given that Israelis are much more powerful than Palestinians, don't they have primary responsibility for ending the conflict?

Today Israelis have more power than Palestinians, but ending the conflict is the responsibility of both parties. Relative power does not determine morality or responsibility, especially when leaders of the seemingly weaker party reject peace and promote terrorism. When it was weak and when it became strong, Israel consistently offered to give up land for Palestinians to realize their national aspirations. Unfortunately, Palestinian leaders, supported by a global network, said no to every offer and often followed these rejections with violence. The Jews, a historically oppressed minority, liberated and empowered themselves to protect their people, their rights, and the nation they reestablished. They have used power with restraint and continually searched for peace. Their empowerment should be celebrated, not used as a political weapon. Israel needs to be strong because many of its neighbors, particularly the Iranian regime and the racist terrorist groups it sponsors, engage in violence and openly threaten to destroy the Jewish state. The rhetoric of "power dynamics" should not be used to perpetuate the conflict, distort the facts, or shield Palestinian leaders from accountability.

What is Anti-Semitism Relative to Israel?

Examples of the ways in which anti-Semitism manifests itself with regard to the state of Israel, taking into account the overall context, could include:

DELEGITIMIZATION:

Denying the Jewish people their right to self-determination and denying Israel the right to exist

DEMONIZATION:

- Using the symbols and images associated with classic anti-Semitism to characterize Israel or Israelis
- Drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of the Nazis
- · Blaming Israel for all inter-religious or political tensions

DOUBLE STANDARDS:

- Applying double standards by requiring of it a behavior not expected or demanded of any other democratic nation
- Multilateral organizations focusing only on Israel for peace or human rights investigations

However, criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as anti-Semitic.





Supporting Israel Around The World

StandWithUs.com • 310.836.6140